




Evaluate a resource: Achieve OER Rubric

Note: You must be logged in to evaluate a resource.


1. On the user feedback section (on the right hand side) of a resource detail page select the ribbon icon.



2. To add your evaluation to any resource, click on the bar that says *Evaluate this Resource*.



If others have already evaluated the resource, the average of those ratings will be displayed.






Illinois Open Educational Resources (IOER)


ioer.ilsharedlearning.org

3. Select the Achieve OER rubric and then click *Begin*.



4. Select how you view the resource as you work through the rubric. You can have the resource shown in the space below or open in a new window.

5. You will be able to rank the resource on six criteria: Quality or Explanation of Subject Matter, Utility of Materials Designed to Support Instruction, Quality of Assessments, Quality of Technological Interactivity, Quality or Instructional and Practice Exercises, and Opportunities for Deeper Learning.



6. Click *Next* to begin the evaluation.



7. Information about the criteria is found to the right. Click the ranking you want to assign in the box below the list of criteria.

Achieve OER (Derivative) Rubric

Quality of Explanation of the Subject Matter

This rubric is applied to objects designed to explain subject matter. It is used to rate how thoroughly the subject matter is explained or otherwise revealed in the object. Teachers might use this object with a whole class, a small group, or an individual student. Students might use the object to self-tutor. For objects that are primarily intended for teacher use, the rubric is applied to the explanation of the subject matter not to the planning instructions for the teacher.

Rubric Scoring Guide

An object is rated *superior* for explanation of subject matter only if all of the following are true:

- The object provides comprehensive information so effectively that the target audience should be able to understand the subject matter.
- The object connects important associated concepts within the subject matter. For example, a lesson on multi-digit addition makes connections with place value, rather than simply showing how to add multi-digit numbers. Or a lesson designed to analyze how an author develops ideas across extended text would make connections among the various developmental steps and the various purposes the author has for the text.
- The object does not need to be augmented with additional explanation or materials.
- The main ideas of the subject matter addressed in the object are clearly identified for the learner.

An object is rated *strong* for explanation of subject matter if it explains the subject matter in a way that makes skills, procedures, concepts, and/or information understandable. It falls short of *superior* in that it does not make connections among important associated concepts within the subject matter. For example, a lesson on multi-digit addition may focus on the procedure and fail to connect it with place value.

An object is rated *limited* for explanation of subject matter if it explains the subject matter correctly but in a limited way. This cursory treatment of the content is not sufficiently developed for a first-time learner of the content. The explanations are not thorough and would likely serve as a review for most learners.

An object is rated *very weak or no value* for explanation of subject matter if its explanations are confusing or contain errors. There is little likelihood that this object will contribute to understanding.

This rubric is not applicable (N/A) for an object that is not designed to explain subject matter, for example, a sheet of mathematical formulae or a map. It may be

Quality of Explanation of the Subject Matter

Prev Next

Quality of Explanation of the Subject Matter

Utility of Materials Designed to Support Teaching

Quality of Assessments

Quality of Technological Interactivity

Quality of Instructional and Practice Exercises

Opportunities for Deeper Learning

Overall

Overall Rating: Strong

Please rate the strength of the alignment of this Resource to the displayed criteria:

Superior

Strong

Limited

Very Weak

Not Applicable

8. Continue through each of the criteria. You will see that a colored bar, on each line, indicates which ranking was assigned to that item. The ratings will also be averaged and reported as an overall rating at the bottom of the chart.

Quality of Technological Interactivity

Prev Next

Quality of Explanation of the Subject Matter

Utility of Materials Designed to Support Teaching

Quality of Assessments

Quality of Technological Interactivity

Quality of Instructional and Practice Exercises

Opportunities for Deeper Learning

Overall

Overall Rating: Strong

9. Click *Next* one more time after you have ranked the last item. Then click the *I'm finished* button.

Ready to Finish

If you are happy with your choices, click the Finish button.

I'm finished. Issue my ratings!

Ready to Finish

Prev Next

Quality of Explanation of the Subject Matter

Utility of Materials Designed to Support Teaching

Quality of Assessments

Quality of Technological Interactivity

Quality of Instructional and Practice Exercises

Opportunities for Deeper Learning

Overall

Overall Rating: Strong